Coorg District

Q.—689. Sri A. P. APPANNA (Virajpet).—

Will the Government be pleased to state —

- (a) the number of ex-servicemen who have been granted lands in Coorg District so far;
- (b) the conditions for granting lands to the ex-servicemen;
- (c) whether all the ex-servicemen who have been granted lands in Coorg District have fulfilled the conditions laid down by Government;
- (d) the number of ex-service men in Coorg District who have alienated their lands;
- (e) whether it is a fact that some of the ex-servicemen who have been granted lands in Coorg District have not been given possession of the lands;
 - (f) if so, the reason for the same?

A.—Sri M.V. KRISHNAPPA (Minister for Revenue) —

- (a) 700.
- (b) According to the scheme for the resettlement of ex-servicemen on land in Coorg which was in force prior to the Coorg Land Grant Rules published in 1960 the conditions for granting lands to ex-servicemen were:
- (i) that he is an ex-serviceman or a serving personnel who expects to be released from service within six months from the date of allotment of land, and who has served in the armed forces-Navy, Army and Air Forces-between 1st September 1939 and 31st August 1945, or that he has served in the Civil Pioneer Forces for not less than 180 days beyond the banks of Brahmaputra;
- (ii) that he possesses an exemplary or very good character;
- (iii) that he has previous experience in farming;
- (iv) that he does not already possess an economic holding in individual name:

he will settle on land anotted and cultivate it either as:

- (1) a member of a Co-operative Colonization Society where such society is formed on a suitable sized block of land, or
- (2) an individual settler in which case he will become a member of a Rural Co-operative Society in the village in which the holding is situated. This condition may be waived by the Chief Commissioner in the case of those ex-servicemen, who for any reason will not be able to join a Co-operative Society;
- (vi) that he agrees to allot a sum of at least Rs. 300 which sum will be treated as his share of his initial capital required to finanace the land settlement schemes. The Chief Commissioner may, however, fix a lower sum in appropriate cases having regard to the time of demobilization, the gratuity earned, and the number of members dependent in the family of the applicant or other factors;
 - (vii) that he is domiciled in Coorg.
 - (c) No.
 - (d) 33.
 - (e) Yes.
- (f) Due to delay in the extraction of timber on the land.

CALLING ATTENTION TO A MATTER OF URGENT PUBLIC IMPORTANCE

Re: Domicile test, etc., in the matter of Recruitment to State Services

†Sri GANJI VEERAPPA (Harihar).—Sir, with regard to the recruitment of State services, whether it is a fact that in the All-India Chief Ministers' Conference, this State Government has agreed that the test for recruitment, viz., Domicile Test and the Regional Language Test, should not be insisted upon for every one irrespective of the regional language or domicile and that language is no bar for recruitment to Services.

†Sri S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister). I had not had the opportunity to attend that Chief Ministers' Conference. But according to the Constitution, as it stands, nobody can be debarred from competing examination to enter service. I know, as a matter of fact, this matter is engaging the attention not only of the Chief Ministers but also of the Central Government. Just yesterday there was a conference about the Linguistic minorities, wherein this matter has been discussed whether there can be categories of recruitment of members, one for the all India category officers and the other confining to the State. This matter is under consideration and I quite understand the spirit with which my friend has asked this question. That is being looked into.

Member's Representation.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Member has seen the allotment of business and the Circular issued. What is the doubt that he has in his mind?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ...ನೋಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ, ಆದರೆ ಖಾನಗಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯವೊಂದು ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕೆಂದಿತ್ತು...

Mr. SPEAKER. It will come at the appropriate time. If three Hon'ble Members stand up simultaneously.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ, ... ಹೀಗೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅಂಥ ಬಲವತ್ತರವಾದ ಕಾರಣ ವೇನಿತ್ತು ? ಖಾನಗಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಎರಡು ದಿವನಗಳನ್ನು ಮತ್ತೆ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಏನು ಕಾರಣ ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—You mean to say that 'no-confidence' motion should not have been put in the list and that should have been kept.....

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಕಳೆದ ಅಧಿವೇಶನ ದ್ವಜ್ಞ ಈ ನಥೆಯ ಮುಖಂಡರು ಹಾಗೂ ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿ ಗಳು ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಏಕೀಕರಣದ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಎರ್ಣಯವನ್ನು ಈ ಅಧಿವೇಶನದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳು ತ್ತೇವೆ, ಅದನ್ನು ತೀರ್ಮಾನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಈಗ ಅದನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣ ವೇನು : ಇದು ವಚನಭ್ರಷ್ಟತೆಯಲ್ಲವೇ : ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಮಜಾಯಿಷಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು. ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮದ ಬದಲಾವಣ್ ಏಕೆ ಆಯಿತು, ಏಕೆ ಖಾನಗಿ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಗಳಿಗೆ ತಾವು ಅವಕಾಶಕೊಡಲಲ್ಲವೆಂಬುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಾವು ವಿವರಣ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Sri G. V. GOWDA (Palya).— You have been pleased to allow two days for non-official business. What has been done?

Mr. SPEAKER.—At the time when it was allotted, 'no-confidence' motion was not there.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—Mere fact that there has been a motion for 'noconfidence', some other days could have been allotted for this 'noconfidence' motion.

Mr. SPEAKER. I will postpone it if the House wants.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—When two days have been allotted for the purpose of transacting business...

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no point in argument. It is for you to mention "these are the days" and I will consider it.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.....There were days intervening this day and the day when the vote of 'no-confidence' motion was admitted by the Speaker.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You must be very reasonable. You participated in the debate yesterday. How is it possible? No time has been wasted or days lost.

Sri G. V. GOWDA.—It has not been wasted, but preference could have been given to that motion.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why did you not make this suggestion earlier? We have got only two days and both days have been allotted for this motion. We have got very little time for this. If out of that you want to eat into it, you may do so. I am not allowing any discussion on the floor of the House.

Sri C. J. MUCKANNAPPA (Sira).— He is a good Lawyer, Sir.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Anyway he is a good lawyer, but a bad parliamentarian if he intervenes now. I am not permitting anything except what is in the Agenda.

Sri C. R. RANGE GOWDA (Magadi).—On a point of order, Sir. I am not